## CONFIDENTIAL CLAIM RETRACTED

DATE: 5/10/13 AUTHORIZED BY:

CONFIDENTIAL IR File

## INSPECTION RECORD SOLID MINERALS

DMO Albuquerque . STATE NM DATE OF INSPECTION January 16, 1986 SERIAL NUMBER HOLDER OF PRIMARY TRACT NUMBER OF HOURS OF INSPECTION COMMODITY TRACT OF TRACT TRACT INTEREST STATUS INSPECTIONS ONSITE/TOTAL TYPE COLUMN 1 COLUMN 2 COLUMN 6 COLUMN 3 COLUMN 4 COLUMN 5 COLUMN 7 Laguna #1 Anaconda Minerals 3.0 / 5.0 Lease Inactive Uranium Company 3.0 / 5.0 Laguna #4 TYPE OF INSPECTION (CHECK ONE) / MINE / / EXPLORATION / / MINE ABANDONMENT / TECH EXAM, EA /xx / INACTIVE / OTHER PURPOSE OF INSPECTION To check on proposed realignment of Highway 279 on the north side of the Rio Paguate and to check on minesite security. PRIEF DESCRIPTION OF INSPECTION See attached sheet. Jackpile/Paguate MINE NAME DESIGNATED OPERATOR DATE ORIGINAL MINE PLAN SUBMITTED 02/25/77 DATE ORIGINAL MINE PLAN APPROVED . . . . DATE MODIFIED MINE PLAN APPROVED . ---DATE MODIFIED MINE PLAN SUBMITTED 08/19/85 IF APPROVED PLAN IS PENDING MODIFICATION, GIVE BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF MODIFICATION: DATE ORIGINAL EXPL. PLAN SUBMITTED TO THE DATE ORIGINAL EXPL. PLAN APPROVED DATE MODIFIED EXPL. PLAN SUBMITTED DATE MODIFIED EXPL. PLAN APPROVED BLM INSPECTOR(S) AND TITLES(S) John M. Andrews, Jr., Environmental Scientist; George Laskar, Supervisory Physical Scientist and Dave Sitzler, Mining Engineer, ADO SURFACE MANAGEMENT AGENCY FOR TRACT(S) Bureau of Indian Affairs NAME, TITLE, AND OFFICE OF SMA PERSONNEL PARTICIPATING IN INSPECTION Dan Garr, Wilbur Lewis and Rudy Lorenzo (all from Laguna Agency) and Runy Lotento . NAME, TITLE, AND OFFICE OF OSM OR REGULATORY AUTHORITY PERSONNEL PARTICIPATING IN INSPECTION NAME, TITLE, AND OFFICE OF COMPANY REPRESENTATIVE(S) PARTICIPATING IN INSPECTION ..... Meade Stirland and Chris Sanchez <u>= - - 0 v</u> v==... HOURS OF OFFICES TIME (PRE-INSPECTION) PREPARING FOR INSPECTION HO. 22 CE CEE. --HOURS OF OFFICES TIME (POST-INSPECTION) REPORTING ON INSPECTION TOTAL TRAVEL TIME TOTAL OFFICE TIME / XX / NO WAS A CONDITION OF NONCOMPLIANCE ENCOUNTERED DURING INSPECTION? / / YES IF YES, PREPARE NONCOMPLIANCE REPORT / XX / NO. WAS AN UNDESIRABLE EVENT ENCOUNTERED DURING INSPECTION? / YES IF YES, PREPARE UNDESTRABLE EVENT REPORT PERSONNEL RESPONSIBLE FOR CONDUCTING INSPECTION Original: Superintendent, Laguna Agency Andrews, Jr. John cc: Governor, Pueblo of Laguna Lease File: Laguna #1&4

cc: VTribal Secretary 5/7/POL-EPA01-0008048

Tribal Treasurer & Jusiness Manager

This joint inspection was made to check on the proposed realignment of Rt. 279 along the north side of the Rio Paguate. The State Highway Department (SHD) was represented by Dick Houston, Charles Herrera and Julian Trujillo. The Pueblo of Laguna (POL) was represented by Jerry Smith.

The realignment of Rt. 279 was originally planned for the south side of the Rio Puerco with a crossing just west of Protore Pile SP-1-C (Green line-Map 1). Because of the large cut that would be needed on the south side of the Paguate, the SHD decided to realign the road to the north side of the Paguate, crossing west of the confluence. This alignment would have gone right through Protore Piles SP-1-C, SP-2-D, #10, and 10-dike (Blue line-Map 1). The SHD had assumed that either reclamation would be underway and the piles already relocated or that Anaconda would be willing to move the protore. However, in September 1985, Anaconda submitted a new reclamation plan (the 1985 Multiple-Use Reclamation Plan) which calls for leaving the protore piles where they lie. Anaconda's position is that the alignment of Rt. 279 must not interfer with its ability to execute its 1985 reclamation plan nor raise the costs of completing the 1985 plan. This means that the protore piles must stay where they are and the right-of-way must not encroach on the piles nor on Anaconda's ability to operate equipment without the equipment itself encroaching on the right-of-way.

Since the proposed realignment was not workable, the SHD agreed to move Rt. 279 north of the protore piles, that is, down the existing haul road (Red line-Map 1). However, even this new alignment would require the removal of some of the Protore Pile 10 and the adjacent 10-dike material.

Protore Pile 10 and the adjacent 10-dike material.

The POL agreed to help by clearing the right-of-way around the east end of Protore Pile 10 using the Pueblo's dozers. The SHD would move the heavy 10-dike material. The SHD agreed to supply BLM, BIA, POL and Anaconda with topographic maps showing the realignment so that all parties could estimate how much of Pile 10 would have to be moved and where the material could be placed. The SHD would to also re-evaluate their right-of-way requirements (normally 75 feet on seither side to of the centerline) to see if the right-of-way could be decreased. Hopefully, the second this new realignment proceeds, the SHD will construct a new haul road just north great of the existing haul road and use the excavated material as fill.

The BLM personnel then inspected waste dumps E, F, and G on the east side of the minesite and the New Shop area. All three waste dumps show evidence of recent sheep grazing. Parts of G-dump are almost barren. Continued animal traffic across the steep dump slopes will lead to heavy erosion. The New Shop area is still in good shape. Most of the buildings are unlocked, but vandalism appears to be minimal. However, the area between the New Shop and the P-10 buildings is not fenced along the present Rt. 279. Although vehicular access is well controlled, someone could simply walk into the minesite from the road. Another modified.





